

WE CLAIM:

1. A computer program product comprising a computer program operable to control
5 a computer to reverse an alteration to a stored computer file, said computer program
comprising:

file comparing logic operable to compare said stored computer file with an
archive copy of said computer file stored when said stored computer file was created; and
alteration reversal logic operable if said file comparing logic detects that said
10 stored computer file and said archive computer file do not match to replace said stored
computer file with said archive copy of said computer file.

2. A computer program product as claimed in claim 1, wherein said archive copy of
said computer file is stored in one of:

15 an unencrypted form;
an encrypted form;
an encrypted media;
an encrypted volume; and
a PGP disk.

20 3. A computer program product as claimed in claim 1, wherein said archive copy of
said computer file is stored in one of:

a different physical storage device to said stored computer file; and
a different part of a common physical storage device shared with stored computer
25 file.

4. A computer program product as claimed in claim 1, wherein a subset of file types
stored by said computer are subject comparison by said file comparing logic and to
creation of an archive copy for use with said file comparing logic.

5. A computer program product as claimed in claim 4, wherein said subset of file types include one or more of:
executable file types; and
dynamic link library file types.

5

6. A computer program product as claimed in claim 1, comprising archive file copy logic operable upon creation of said stored computer file to also created said archive copy of said computer file.

10 7. A computer program product as claimed in claim 6, wherein said archive file copy logic operates to create said archive copy of said computer file for a subset of file types stored by said computer.

15 8. A computer program product as claimed in claim 7, wherein said subset of file types include one or more of:
executable file types; and
dynamic link library file types.

9. A computer program product as claimed in claim 1, wherein said alteration is a malicious alteration.

20

10. A method of detecting a malicious alteration to a stored computer file, said method comprising the steps of:
comparing said stored computer file with an archive copy of said computer file stored when said stored computer file was created; and
if said file comparing step detects that said stored computer file and said archive computer file do not match, replacing said stored computer file with said archive copy of said computer file.

25

30 11. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein said archive copy of said computer file is stored in one of:

an unencrypted form;
an encrypted form;
an encrypted media;
an encrypted volume; and
5 a PGP disk.

12. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein said archive copy of said computer file is stored in one of:

a different physical storage device to said stored computer file; and
10 a different part of a common physical storage device shared with stored computer file.

13. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein a subset of file types stored by said computer are subject comparison by said file comparing logic and to creation of an 15 archive copy for use in said comparing step.

14. A method as claimed in claim 13, wherein said subset of file types include one or more of:

20 executable file types; and
dynamic link library file types.

15. A method as claimed in claim 10, comprising the step of upon creation of said stored computer file also creating said archive copy of said computer file.

25 16. A method as claimed in claim 15, wherein said step of creating said archive copy operates to create said archive copy of said computer file for a subset of file types stored by said computer.

30 17. A method as claimed in claim 16, wherein said subset of file types include one or more of:
executable file types; and

dynamic link library file types.

18. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein said alteration is a malicious alteration.

5 19. Apparatus for processing data operable to detect an alteration to a stored computer file, said apparatus comprising:

a file comparitor operable to compare said stored computer file with an archive copy of said computer file stored when said stored computer file was created; and

10 a comparison responder operable if said file comparing logic detects that said stored computer file and said archive computer file do not match to replace said stored computer file with said archive copy of said computer file.

20. Apparatus as claimed in claim 19, wherein said archive copy of said computer file is stored in one of:

15 an unencrypted form;

an encrypted form;

an encrypted media;

an encrypted volume; and

a PGP disk.

20 21. Apparatus as claimed in claim 19, wherein said archive copy of said computer file is stored in one of:

a different physical storage device to said stored computer file; and

25 a different part of a common physical storage device shared with stored computer file.

22. Apparatus as claimed in claim 19, wherein a subset of file types stored by said computer are subject comparison by said file comparitor and to creation of an archive copy for use with said file comparitor.

23. Apparatus as claimed in claim 22, wherein said subset of file types include one or more of:

executable file types; and
dynamic link library file types.

5

24. Apparatus as claimed in claim 19, comprising an archive file copier operable upon creation of said stored computer file to also create said archive copy of said computer file.

10 25. Apparatus as claimed in claim 24, wherein said archive file copier operates to create said archive copy of said computer file for a subset of file types stored by said computer.

15 26. Apparatus as claimed in claim 25, wherein said subset of file types include one or more of:

executable file types; and
dynamic link library file types.

20 27. Apparatus as claimed in claim 19, wherein said alteration is a malicious alteration.